

2008 INTERNATIONAL ROUNDS IN OXFORD

CLARIFICATIONS

Question 1: Should the memorial only deal with substantive issues, and not anything relating to admissibility?

Answer: Yes.

Question 2: Supposing the request to broadcast an apology for showing the cartoon had been ignored, could the Turustein Council for Media Ethics impose sanctions on TTV?

Answer: Irrelevant question, as the apology has been broadcasted by TTV on request of the Council for Media Ethics.

Question 3: The first sentence of Paragraph 13 of the Case mentions TV-News on TTV as of 11 May 2007; assuming a possible technical mistake, should this date be read as 18 May 2003?

Answer: Correct remark: the sentence of Paragraph 13 refers indeed to criminal charges against the content that was posted on the TTV-website on 11 May and against the TV-news on TVV of 18 May 2003.

Question 4: The manner of mentioning [Turustein] Supreme Court and [Turustein] Constitutional Court in Paragraph 13 of the Case allows an assumption of a technical mistake; should mentions of both Courts throughout the Case (Paragraphs 8, 12, 13) be understood as those of the same institution?

Answer: The Supreme Court of Turustein combines indeed the character of a Court of Cassation/Supreme Court and of a Constitutional Court: Supreme Court and Constitutional Court refer to the same institution.

Question 5: Can we refer to cases outside rule 5.1.2 and if so is it given any weight?

Answer: Decisions and case-law from other jurisdictions than mentioned in "Rule 5.1.2 - Limitations on applicable decisions and case-law" is not given any weight, unless you can quote such cases through reference to literature quoted in accordance with rule 5.2.2 - List of Sources/Authorities and footnotes.